

From: [HarborComments](#)
To: [PortlandHarbor](#)
Subject: Current Public Comment Period - Explanation of Significant Differences Concerns
Date: Thursday, December 06, 2018 12:28:26 PM

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, December 03, 2018 5:04 PM

To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>

Subject: Current Public Comment Period - Explanation of Significant Differences Concerns

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is (b) (6) and am writing to the EPA regarding the planned changes from the EPA regarding the Portland Harbour Cleanup.

I am a resident of Portland, Oregon, and was crushed to learn that the recommended maximum meal, per month, for a crayfish was only 2! It was unimaginable to me that a river of such historical and culture relevance and bounty is so polluted and neglected that only two palm-sized shellfish can be a danger.

The EPA exists to help our communities solve problems. It exists to help us clean up historic actions of the privileged few, who can pollute without consequence. But there are consequences--and they are felt by the communities that have used the river and its resources for survival. Now it's time for the EPA to help, rather than further the injustices of the polluters of the past.

While I have heard the EPA claims benzo(a)pyrene is much less toxic than originally thought, I've also read claims of the opposite--and struggle to believe your analysis isn't true.

Please do the right thing, and do not shrink the final cleanup plan, and let NW Natural and the Port of Portland off the hook for \$35million!

(b) (6)

Portlander, Community Member, Concerned Human

--

Kind Regards,

(b) (6)